

## **SOLUTION BRIEF**

# **THE KIND OF APPLICATION ERRORS THAT WILL HAPPEN BECAUSE OF API FAILURES**

---

# WHAT KIND OF API ERRORS CAN HYPERTEST CATCH ?

List of high severity errors HyperTest will never miss

## CONTENT TYPE CHANGES

---

Content type header has changed in the new version

## KEY REMOVED

---

Contract failure with removal of Key in the response object

## DATA TYPE CHANGE

---

Contract schema remains similar, but data type changes i.e. integer to string etc

## ARRAY ORDER CHANGE

---

Order of data received is different

## VALUE MODIFIED

---

Contract schema remains similar, but data changes

## SLOWENESS IN APIs

---

Change in Latency or Bandwidth wrt stable app

## SENSITIVE DATA EXPOSURE

---

Leakage of critical user details in APIs that shouldn't

## STATUS CODE CHANGE

---

Fatal crashes with status code failures 400s, 500s etc



SCAN TO SCHEDULE A DEMO WITH OUR TEAM

## ERROR TYPE: **CONTENT TYPE CHANGE**

<b>SEVERITY</b>	<b>Very High</b>
<b>WHAT DOES THIS ERROR MEAN?</b>	Content type header has changed in the new version
<b>EXAMPLE</b>	The old app is returning a JSON and new app is returning HTML
<b>WHY DOES THIS USUALLY HAPPEN?</b>	This is rarely desired, content types are not supposed to be updated every now and then. This error mostly happens when a service further downstream is down/buggy and response is short circuited by a proxy or another service.
<b>POSSIBLE NEGATIVE IMPACTS</b>	Upstream/Client App would crash. It won't be able to understand the new response and throw an exception
<b>SUGGESTED ACTIONS FOR WARRANTED CHANGE</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Check if the Client/upstream has been updated to handle new content type</li><li>2. Have a strategy for forcing an update of old clients/upstreams before deploying new release</li><li>3. If force update is not an option, implement API versioning for gradual update of clients and deprecate once every client is updated or no longer supported</li></ol>

## ERROR TYPE: **VALUE / DATA TYPE MODIFIED**

<b>SEVERITY</b>	<b>Very High</b>
<b>WHAT DOES THIS ERROR MEAN?</b>	Contract schema remains similar, but data or data type changes
<b>EXAMPLE</b>	The old version calculates discount 10% discount on an item as \$15, new version calculates the discount as \$17.673535836 for the exact same case
<b>WHY DOES THIS USUALLY HAPPEN?</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Current timestamp dependent data (autoignored by HyperTest in omst cases, can be ignored safely)</li><li>2. Bug in new implementation</li><li>3. Data type changes in schema</li></ol>
<b>POSSIBLE NEGATIVE IMPACTS</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Incorrect data would be reported to upstream/client app due to flawed business logic</li><li>2. Upstream/client app can also crash if modified data is out of bounds</li></ol>
<b>SUGGESTED ACTIONS FOR WARRANTED CHANGE</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Verify new business logic</li><li>2. Check if upstream/client app is handling new data types properly. Eg: If float point numbers are sent to a client which earlier catered to integers, client would crash or drop some data leading to data issues</li></ol>

## ERROR TYPE: STATUS CODE CHANGE

<b>SEVERITY</b>	<b>Very High</b>
<b>WHAT DOES THIS ERROR MEAN?</b>	Fatal crash in the app reflected by a status code change
<b>EXAMPLE</b>	Old app is returning 200, and new app its returning 4xx or 5xx
<b>WHY DOES THIS USUALLY HAPPEN?</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Infra problems: Service/dowstream is down</li><li>2. Authentication logic is broken (for 401 errors)</li><li>3. Rate limits is exceeded by HyperTest (for 429)</li><li>4. Theres a bug in the service</li><li>5. Non idempotent action is being performed without making necessary configurations in HyperTest first</li></ol>
<b>POSSIBLE NEGATIVE IMPACTS</b>	Upsteam/Client App would crash. If exceptions are handled, upstream/client app may throw a generic exception to the user. They wont be able to perform the action that they meant to do
<b>SUGGESTED ACTIONS FOR WARRANTED CHANGE</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. If this happens even without a code change, it must be a non idempotent request. Handle this the "non-idempotency" by using HyperTest middlewares</li><li>2. If ratelimit is causing problem, add HyperTest IP to the whitelist for ratelimits</li><li>3. If infra related issue, debug and get that fixed</li></ol>

## ERROR TYPE: **KEY REMOVED**

<b>SEVERITY</b>	<b>High</b>
<b>WHAT DOES THIS ERROR MEAN?</b>	Fatal contract failure in the app due to removal of a key in the response
<b>EXAMPLE</b>	Rating Key removed
<b>WHY DOES THIS USUALLY HAPPEN?</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Change in logic to reduce the information that is shown to the user</li><li>2. Remove unused keys on upstream/client app to conserve bandwidth and reduce response time/parse time on client</li><li>3. Bug in service</li><li>4. Unhandled downstream contract changes in upstream</li></ol>
<b>POSSIBLE NEGATIVE IMPACTS</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Upstream/client app would crash if required keys are removed from the response</li><li>2. Upstream might fall back to default logic in absense of required keys which might result in wrong data problems. For example: If "discountPercentage" is removed from discount service response, cart service might crash or start giving a default discount of 0% which would not let the user avail the correct discount amount</li></ol>
<b>SUGGESTED ACTIONS FOR WARRANTED CHANGE</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Verify why was the key removed</li><li>2. Check if upstream/client app has been updated for removal of this key.</li><li>3. Check if there is no defaults for handling this key that might result in a change in business logic resulting in bugs</li></ol>

## ERROR TYPE: **KEY ADDED**

<b>SEVERITY</b>	<b>Medium</b>
<b>WHAT DOES THIS ERROR MEAN?</b>	Fatal or non-fatal contract failure in the app due to addition of a new Key
<b>EXAMPLE</b>	Old version does not reveal phone no of the user in the new version phone no is revealed
<b>WHY DOES THIS USUALLY HAPPEN?</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. New feature in upstream/client app</li><li>2. Extra data coming from downstream is passed on without restriction to upstream</li><li>3. Fetching all data in a single query</li></ol>
<b>POSSIBLE NEGATIVE IMPACTS</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Unnecessary extra data might reveal sensitive user information</li><li>2. Extra data increases costs, bandwidth and response times</li></ol>
<b>SUGGESTED ACTIONS FOR WARRANTED CHANGE</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Follow principal of least privileges, only reveal the minium data that is required by your upstream/client app</li><li>2. Report this as issue for non necessary keys</li></ol>

## ERROR TYPE: **ARRAY ORDER CHANGE**

<b>SEVERITY</b>	<b>Medium</b>
<b>WHAT DOES THIS ERROR MEAN?</b>	Order of data received is different
<b>EXAMPLE</b>	Users listed by ratings are shown in the wrong order in the new version
<b>WHY DOES THIS USUALLY HAPPEN?</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1.No order enforced on downstreams</li><li>2.Change in sorting logic</li><li>3.Bug in queries that fetch data</li></ol>
<b>POSSIBLE NEGATIVE IMPACTS</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1.Users would be reported incorrect data or in the wrong priority This might be a huge problem for strictly ordered data, timeseries data, etc</li><li>2.Orderless data can lead to cache misses and lead to more costs, bandwidth and response times</li></ol>
<b>SUGGESTED ACTIONS FOR WARRANTED CHANGE</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1.If the reported data should be sorted in a particular order, this is a huge bug</li><li>2.Ignore otherwise</li></ol>

## ERROR TYPE: **HEADER ADDED**

<b>SEVERITY</b>	<b>Low</b>
<b>WHAT DOES THIS ERROR MEAN?</b>	Fatal or non-fatal contract failure in the app due to addition of a new header
<b>EXAMPLE</b>	Access Control methods header added
<b>WHY DOES THIS USUALLY HAPPEN?</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Misconfigured proxy settings</li><li>2. Misconfigured security settings in application</li></ol>
<b>POSSIBLE NEGATIVE IMPACTS</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Extra information regarding app/server might be revealed to a potential attacker</li><li>2. Extra bandwidth costs for both client and server</li></ol>
<b>SUGGESTED ACTIONS FOR WARRANTED CHANGE</b>	Verify why the reported headers are added. If they don't fulfil a purpose, get them removed

## ERROR TYPE: **HEADER MODIFIED**

<b>SEVERITY</b>	<b>Low</b>
<b>WHAT DOES THIS ERROR MEAN?</b>	Header value is modified in the new version
<b>EXAMPLE</b>	Access Control methods header modified
<b>WHY DOES THIS USUALLY HAPPEN?</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Misconfigured proxy settings</li><li>2. Misconfigured security settings in application</li></ol>
<b>POSSIBLE NEGATIVE IMPACTS</b>	Browser security might be compromised depending on the headers modified
<b>SUGGESTED ACTIONS FOR WARRANTED CHANGE</b>	Verify why the reported headers are modified

## ERROR TYPE: **HEADER REMOVED**

<b>SEVERITY</b>	<b>Low</b>
<b>WHAT DOES THIS ERROR MEAN?</b>	A header is removed in the new version
<b>EXAMPLE</b>	Access Control header removed
<b>WHY DOES THIS USUALLY HAPPEN?</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Misconfigured proxy settings</li><li>2. Misconfigured security settings in application</li></ol>
<b>POSSIBLE NEGATIVE IMPACTS</b>	Browser security might be compromised depending on the headers removed
<b>SUGGESTED ACTIONS FOR WARRANTED CHANGE</b>	Verify why are the reported headers removed